





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,979	01/10/2002	Mamoru Kaneko	P/16-304	5661
7:	590 04/02/2003			
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8403			EXAMINER	
			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	1/
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	m				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
••		10/045,979	KANEKO ET AL.					
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		John P. Leubecke						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply in - Failure to reply within th - Any reply received by th	specified above, the maximum statuto	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howevertion. ays, a reply within the statutory minir ryperiod will apply and will expire Solve statute. cause the application to		ely. communication.				
	e to communication(s) filed	on 10 January 2002 .	·					
2a) ☐ This action		M This action is non-fin	al.					
, 		· 		he merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 a</u>	and 2 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the at	oove claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 a</u>	<u>nd 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
·— ·	ition is objected to by the E							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
, <u> </u>	Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
a	es of the certified copies of oplication from the Internati hed detailed Office action f	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this Nationa 7.2(a)). pies not received.	ıl Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) 🗌 The tran	nslation of the foreign langu	uage provisional application						
Attachment(s)		Ensurable annual an	••					
Notice of References Notice of Draftsperso	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	o-948) 5) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,979

Art Unit: 3739

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the priority data in the first paragraph of the specification needs to be updated (i.e., patent number).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi (U.S. Pat. 4,821,117).

Sekiguchi disclose a device substantially as claimed including a light source, an endoscope, an imaging camera, an image producing means a selection means and a setting means. All of these components can clearly be seen in Figure 1. The Sekiguchi device essentially operates in two light modes: white light mode and excitation (fluorescence) light mode. Sekiguchi fails to explicitly state which mode is initially set when the device is powered up. Where there is a limited universe of potential options, the selection of any particular option would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re Jones, 412 F.2d 241, 162 USPQ 224 (CCPA 1969). When the power is turned on, the device has to initially be in one of the two modes. Since fluorescent imaging is almost always done after the endoscope reaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/045,979

Art Unit: 3739

target site (e.g., locates a polyp, lesion, etc.) and white light imaging is usually used when inserting the endoscope in order to reach the target site, it would just be logical to initially set the device in the white-light mode so as to eliminate the need to switch to such when beginning the procedure. Because of the reasons set forth above, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have configured the device of Sekiguchi to initially set the white-light when the device is turn on.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kaneko et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,749,830) and Suzuki (U.S. Pat. 4,768,513)--note switching between lights. Since these references were sent to Applicant in the parent case, copies are not being provided.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,979

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

jpl March 28, 2003